arXiv:1406.2128v1 [cs.AI] 9 Jun 2014

A bio-inspired algorithm for fuzzy user equilibrium problem by aid of *Physarum Polycephalum*

Yang Liu[a], Xiaoge Zhang[a], Yong Deng[a,b,*]

[a]*School of Computer and Information Science, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China*
[b]*School of Automation, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xian, Shaanxi, 710072, China*

Abstract

The user equilibrium in traffic assignment problem is based on the fact that travelers choose the minimum-cost path between every origin-destination pair and on the assumption that such a behavior will lead to an equilibrium of the traffic network. In this paper, we consider this problem when the traffic network links are fuzzy cost. Therefore, a *Physarum*-type algorithm is developed to unify the *Physarum* network and the traffic network for taking full of advantage of *Physarum Polycephalum*'s adaptivity in network design to solve the user equilibrium problem. Eventually, some experiments are used to test the performance of this method. The results demonstrate that our approach is competitive when compared with other existing algorithms.

Keywords: Fuzzy user equilibrium; Traffic assignment; *Physarum Polycephalum*; Adaptivity.

1. Introduction

The traffic assignment or network equilibrium problem is to predict the steady-state flow of a transportation network [1, 2, 3]. Based on this equilibrium, a traffic network can be designed or managed more effectively [4, 5]. There are many literatures for the traffic assignment problem such as multiple route assignment [6], probabilistic multipath traffic assignment [7] and paired alternative segments

*Corresponding author: Yong Deng, School of Computer and Information Science, Southwest University, Chongqing, 400715, China. Email address: prof.deng@hotmail.com; yongdeng@nwpu.edu.cn

for traffic assignment [8]. However, in reality, the state of a transportation network is always determined by independent travelers who only seek to choose the minimum-cost path between every origin-destination pair. According to this phenomenon, in 1952, Wardrop et al. [9] proposed the user equilibrium principle for the traffic network equilibrium problem. Then, a mathematical model of the user equilibrium is developed by Beckmann et al. [10] in 1956. Based on this model, the dynamic user equilibrium and the stochastic user equilibrium are presented and considered by a lot of researchers [11, 12, 13].

However, in real world, travelers always can not obtain global information of the traffic network, in which many uncertain events may occur at any moment such as traffic accident. Usually these uncertain events can be taken by fuzzy viewpoints [3]. Akiyama et al. [14] develop a model for route choice behavior due to the fuzzy reasoning approach. Henn [15] proposes a fuzzy route choice model by representing travelers with various indices such as risk-taking travelers or risking-averting travelers. Consider the spatial knowledge of individual travelers, Ridwan [16] suggest a fuzzy preference for travel decisions because some travelers do not follow maximizing principles in route choice. Ghatee et al. [3] propose a method based on quasi-logit formulas to obtain a fuzzy equilibrium flow assuming a fuzzy level of travel demand. Many researchers have focused on the fuzzy traffic assignment problem, but, there is not a method dominating the others. Therefore, it is meaningful for us to investigate new method to focus on the fuzzy network equilibrium problem.

Recently, a large, single-celled amoeba-like organism, *Physarum polycephalum*, was found to be adaptively capable of solving many graph theoretical problems such as the shortest path found through a maze [17, 18, 19], path selection in networks [20, 21, 22], network design [23, 24]. In this paper, for taking full of advantage of *Physarum Polycephalum*'s adaptivity in network design, we modify the *Physarum*-type algorithm to unity the *Physarum* network and the traffic network so that they can propagate mutually. By this way, the fuzzy user equilibrium can be approached by *Physarum Polycephalum*. Comparing with other existing algorithms, the main advantage of this algorithm is its adaptivity. To test the performance of this method, some experiments are developed and the results demonstrate that our approach is efficient.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in section 2, some preliminaries are presented. In section 3, the proposed method is described. In section 4, experimental results are evaluated. In the final, a brief conclusion is given.

2. Preliminaries

Some basic theories are shown in this section, including *Physarum*-type algorithm for shortest path selection, user equilibrium in the traffic network and basic concepts of fuzzy set.

2.1. Physarum-*type algorithm for shortest path selection*

The shortest path-selection process of *Physarum polycephalum* is based on the morphogenesis of the tubular structure [18, 25]: on the one hand, high rate of protoplasmic flow stimulates an increase in tubes diameter, whereas tubes tend to decline at low flow rate. Tube thickness therefore adapts to the flow rate. On the other hand, the decrease of tube thickness is accelerated in the illuminated part of the organism. Thus, the tube structure evolves according to a balance of these mutually antagonistic processes. Based on the observed phenomena of the tube structures evolution, a simple *Physarum polycephalum* model, which takes a mathematically simplified and tractable form, is proposed by Tero et al. [25].

Using the graphic illustrated in [25], the model can be described as follows. Each segment in the diagram represents a section of tube. Two special nodes, which are also called food source nodes, are named N_1 and N_2, and the other nodes are denoted as N_3, N_4, N_5, and so on. The section of tube between N_i and N_j is denoted as M_{ij}. If several tubes connect the same pair of nodes, intermediate nodes will be placed in the center of the tubes to guarantee the uniqueness of the connecting segments. The variable Q_{ij} is used to express the flux through tube M_{ij} from N_i to N_j. Assuming the flow along the tube as an approximately Poiseuille flow, the flux Q_{ij} can be expressed as:

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{\pi r_{ij}^4 (p_i - p_j)}{8\xi L_{ij}} = \frac{D_{ij}}{L_{ij}}(p_i - p_j) \tag{1}$$

where ξ is the viscosity coefficient of the sol. $D_{ij} = \pi r_{ij}^4 / 8\xi$ is a measure of the tube conductivity. p_i is the pressure at the node N_i. L_{ij} is the length of the edge M_{ij}.

Assume zero capacity at each node, $\sum_i Q_{ij} = 0 (j \neq 1, 2)$ can be obtained according to the conservation law of sol. For the source node N_1 and the sink node N_2, $\sum_i Q_{i1} = -I_0$ and $\sum_i Q_{i2} = I_0$, respectively. I_0 is the flux flowing from the source node (or into the sink node). Then the network Poisson equation for the pressure can be obtained as follows:

$$\sum_i \frac{D_{ij}}{L_{ij}}(p_i - p_j) = \begin{cases} -1 & for\ j = 1, \\ +1 & for\ j = 2, \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

By setting $p_2 = 0$ as the basic pressure level, all p_i's can be determined by solving above equation system, and each $Q_{ij} = D_{ij}(p_i - p_j)/L_{ij}$ is also obtained.

Experimental observation shows that tubes with larger fluxes are reinforced, while those with smaller fluxes degenerate. To accommodate the adaptive behavior of the tubes, all corresponding conductivities D_{ij}'s change in time according to the following equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}D_{ij} = f(|Q_{ij}|) - aD_{ij} \tag{3}$$

where a is a decay rate of the tube. The functional form $f(|Q_{ij}|)$ is generally given by $f(|Q_{ij}|) = |Q_{ij}|$ for the sake of simplicity [25]. To solve the adaption of Eq. (3), a semi-implicit scheme is used as follows:

$$\frac{D_{ij}^{n+1} - D_{ij}^{n}}{\delta t} = |Q_{ij}^{n}| - D_{ij}^{n+1} \tag{4}$$

where δt is a time mesh size and the upper index n indicates a time step. Hence, the time-varying conductivity D_{ij} generates the state of the system to make the shortest path emerge.

2.2. User equilibrium in the traffic network

Nomenclature

N : the set of network nodes
A : the set of network links $\{a\}$
Π : the set of origin-destination $(O-D)$ nodes $\{(o,d)\}$
$P_{(o,d)}$: the set of paths from o to d for each $(o,d) \in \Pi$
$q_{(o,d)}$: the demand of trips through $(o,d) \in \Pi$
x^a : the total flow through link $a \in A$
u^a : the capacity of link $a \in A$
c_0^a : the free-flow cost of link $a \in A$
$\delta_{(o,d)}^{p,a} = \begin{cases} 1, & a \in p, \\ 0, & a \notin p. \end{cases} \quad \forall a \in A, \forall p \in P_{(o,d)}$
c^a : the cost on link a, $c^a = c^a(x^a, c_0^a)$
$f_{(o,d)}^p$: the traffic flow of path $p \in P_{(o,d)}$
$c_{(o,d)}^p$: the cost of path $p \in P_{(o,d)}$, $c_{(o,d)}^p = \sum_{a \in A} c^a \delta_{(o,d)}^{p,a}$

In 1952, Wardrop et al. [9] proposed the User equilibrium principle: any travelers can not decrease themselves' cost by changing travel route when the traffic system is equilibrium. According to this principle, a flow-cost formula was developed by Beckmann et al. [10]:

$$\mu_{(o,d)} - c_{(o,d)}^p = \begin{cases} = 0, & f_{(o,d)}^p > 0, \forall p \in P_{(o,d)}, \forall (o,d) \in \Pi, \\ \leq 0, & f_{(o,d)}^p = 0, \forall p \in P_{(o,d)}, \forall (o,d) \in \Pi. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $\mu_{(o,d)}$ is the minimum cost of each (o,d) in the network equilibrium. Assuming that the cost of each link is only associated with the flow of that link and the cost is strictly increasing with the flow increasing, Eq. 5 can be transformed into the mathematical model shown as follows:

$$\min Z(w) = \sum_{a \in A} \int_0^{x^a} c^a(w) dw, \tag{6}$$

$$s.t. \begin{cases} \sum_{p \in P_{(o,d)}} f^p_{(o,d)} = q_{(o,d)} \quad \forall (o,d) \in \Pi, \\ x^a = \sum_{(o,d) \in \Pi} \sum_{p \in P_{(o,d)}} f^p_{(o,d)} \delta^{p,a}_{(o,d)} \quad \forall a \in A, \\ f^p_{(o,d)} \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

It can be proved that there exists one unique solution for Eq. 6 [26]. Hence, The user equilibrium problem can be solved by solving a mathematical optimization problem as Eq. 6 and Eq. 7.

2.3. Fuzzy set

Fuzzy set proposed by Zadeh [27] in 1965 is widely used in many fields such as statistics [28, 29], computer programming [30, 31], engineering and experimental science [32, 33]. Based on this theory, The concept of fuzzy number was first used by Nahmias in the United States and by Dubois and Prade in France in the late 1970s. In this paper, the triangular fuzzy number will be used. Therefore, according to [34, 35],some basic definitions of fuzzy set and fuzzy number are given as follows.

Definition 1. *A fuzzy set $\widetilde{A}$ defined on a universe X may be expressed as:*

$$\widetilde{A} = \left\{ \left\langle x, \mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x) \right\rangle | x \in X \right\} \tag{8}$$

where $\mu_{\widetilde{A}} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is the membership function of $\widetilde{A}$. The membership value $\mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x)$ describes the degree of $x \in X$ in $\widetilde{A}$.

Definition 2. *A fuzzy set $\widetilde{A}$ of X is normal iff* $\sup_{x \in X} \mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x) = 1$.

Definition 3. *A fuzzy set $\widetilde{A}$ of X is convex iff $\mu_{\widetilde{A}}(\lambda x + (1-\lambda) y) \geq \left(\mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x) \wedge \mu_{\widetilde{A}}(y) \right)$, $\forall x, y \in X, \forall \lambda \in [0,1]$, where $\wedge$ denotes the minimum operator.*

Definition 4. *A fuzzy set $\widetilde{A}$ is a fuzzy number iff $\widetilde{A}$ is normal and convex on X.*

Definition 5. *A triangular fuzzy number $\widetilde{A}$ is a fuzzy number with a piecewise linear membership function $\mu_{\widetilde{A}}$ defined by:*

$$\mu_{\widetilde{A}} = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq a_1 \\ \frac{x-a_1}{a_2-a_1}, & a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ \frac{a_3-x}{a_3-a_2}, & a_2 \leq x \leq a_3 \\ 0, & a_3 \leq x \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

which can be denoted as a triplet (a_1, a_2, a_3). A triangular fuzzy number $\widetilde{A}$ in the universe set X that conforms to this definition shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: A triangular fuzzy number $\widetilde{A}$

Based on [36, 37], fuzzy arithmetic on triangular is shown as follows.

Definition 6. *Assuming that both* $\widetilde{A} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ *and* $\widetilde{B} = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ *are triangular numbers, then the basic fuzzy operations are:*

$$\widetilde{A} \oplus \widetilde{B} = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3) \quad \text{for addition,} \tag{10}$$
$$\widetilde{A} \ominus \widetilde{B} = (a_1 - b_3, a_2 - b_2, a_3 - b_1) \quad \text{for subtraction,} \tag{11}$$
$$\widetilde{A} \otimes \widetilde{B} = (a_1 \times b_1, a_2 \times b_2, a_3 \times b_3) \quad \text{for multipulation,} \tag{12}$$
$$\widetilde{A} \oslash \widetilde{B} = (a_1 \ / \ b_3 \, , a_2 \ / \ b_2 \, , a_3 \ / \ b_1 \ ) \quad \text{for division.} \tag{13}$$

Definition 7. *Assuming that both* $\widetilde{A} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ *and* $\widetilde{B} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ *are trapezoidal numbers, then the basic fuzzy operations are:*

$$\widetilde{A} \oplus \widetilde{B} = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3, a_4 + b_4) \quad \text{for addition,} \tag{14}$$
$$\widetilde{A} \ominus \widetilde{B} = (a_1 - b_4, a_2 - b_3, a_3 - b_2, a_4 - b_1) \quad \text{for subtraction,} \tag{15}$$
$$\widetilde{A} \otimes \widetilde{B} = (a_1 \times b_1, a_2 \times b_2, a_3 \times b_3, a_4 \times b_4) \quad \text{for multipulation,} \tag{16}$$
$$\widetilde{A} \oslash \widetilde{B} = (a_1 \ / \ b_4 \, , a_2 \ / \ b_3 \, , a_3 \ / \ b_2 \, , a_4 \ / \ b_1 \ ) \quad \text{for division.} \tag{17}$$

For example, let $\widetilde{A} = (8, 10, 12)$ and $\widetilde{B} = (4, 5, 6)$ be two triangular fuzzy numbers. Based on Eqs. 10, four basic operations can be derived as:

$$\widetilde{A} \oplus \widetilde{B} = (8, 10, 12) \oplus (4, 5, 6) = (12, 15, 18) \, .$$
$$\widetilde{A} \ominus \widetilde{B} = (8, 10, 12) \ominus (4, 5, 6) = (2, 5, 8) \, .$$
$$\widetilde{A} \otimes \widetilde{B} = (8, 10, 12) \otimes (4, 5, 6) = (32, 50, 72) \, .$$
$$\widetilde{A} \oslash \widetilde{B} = (8, 10, 12) \oslash (4, 5, 6) = (8/6, 2, 3) \, .$$



Figure 2: An example of fuzzy arithmetic operations on triangular fuzzy numbers $\widetilde{A} = (8, 10, 12)$ and $\widetilde{B} = (4, 5, 6)$

The results of the above operations are depicted in Fig. 2.

Recently, fuzzy distance, as a measure of distance between two fuzzy numbers, has gained much attention from researchers and been widely applied in data analysis, classification, and so on [38, 39]. In this paper, the $Dis_{p,q}$-distance proposed in [40] is adopted to measure the difference between two fuzzy numbers.

Definition 8. *The $Dis_{p,q}$-distance, indexed by parameters $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q < 1$, between two fuzzy numbers $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ is a nonnegative function given by [40, 41]:*

$$Dis_{p,q}\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}\right) = \begin{cases} \left[(1-q)\int_0^1 \left|A_\alpha^- - B_\alpha^-\right|^p \mathrm{d}\alpha + q\int_0^1 \left|A_\alpha^+ - B_\alpha^+\right|^p \mathrm{d}\alpha\right]^{1/p}, & p < \infty, \\ (1-q)\sup\limits_{0<\alpha\le 1}\left(\left|A_\alpha^- - B_\alpha^-\right|\right) + q\inf\limits_{0<\alpha\le 1}\left(\left|A_\alpha^+ - B_\alpha^+\right|\right), & p = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

The analytical properties of $Dis_{p,q}$ depend on the first parameter p, while the second parameter q of $Dis_{p,q}$ characterizes the subjective weight attributed to the end points of the support. Having q close to 1 results in considering the right side of the support of the fuzzy numbers more favorably. Since the significance of the end points of the support of the fuzzy numbers is assumed to be same, the $q = (1/2)$ is adopted in this paper.

According to studies by Mahdavi et al. [41, 42], with $p = 2$ and $q = (1/2)$, the general form of fuzzy distance $Dis_{p,q}$ can be converted into different forms, as two fuzzy numbers $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ take different types.

For triangular fuzzy numbers $\widetilde{A} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $\widetilde{B} = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$, the fuzzy distance between them can be represented as:

$$Dis\left(\widetilde{A},\widetilde{B}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{3}(b_i - a_i)^2 + (b_2 - a_2)^2 + \sum_{i\in\{1,2\}}(b_i - a_i)(b_{i+1} - a_{i+1})\right]} \quad (19)$$

3. Proposed method

3.1. Fuzzy user equilibrium

In the model of traditional user equilibrium, a basic assumption is that all travelers know the global information of the traffic network. Based on this, every one can make the decision having no conflict with each other. In reality, however, because of some uncertain events or local information, travelers always need to make the decision according to the fuzzy information of the traffic network. In general, the fuzzy information can be divided into three types [3]: inexact travel cost, unsure network topology and imprecise travel demand. In this paper, we consider the user equilibrium problem in traffic assignment with fuzzy travel cost or fuzzy user equilibrium problem. According to section 2.2, the fuzzy user equilibrium can be stated as follows:

$$\min \widetilde{Z}(w) = \sum_{a\in A}\int_0^{x^a} \widetilde{c}^a(w)dw, \quad (20)$$

$$s.t.\begin{cases} \sum_{p\in P_{(o,d)}} f^p_{(o,d)} = q_{(o,d)} \quad \forall (o,d) \in \Pi, \\ x^a = \sum_{(o,d)\in\Pi}\sum_{p\in P_{(o,d)}} f^p_{(o,d)}\delta^{p,a}_{(o,d)} \quad \forall a \in A, \\ f^p_{(o,d)} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

where $\widetilde{c}^a(\cdot)$ is the fuzzy cost function. It denotes the inaccuracies of perceived time of travelers. For example, one traveler may have a larger perceived travel time of one path than its real travel time while some travelers increase their speed through this paths for some special reasons and a lower if a car accident occurs on this path. For the sake of simplicity, we assign $\widetilde{c}^a$ with a triangular fuzzy number. To associate $\widetilde{c}^a$ with x^a, we use α_l and α_r to extend x^a. Therefore, $\widetilde{c}^a$ can be calculated as follows:

$$\widetilde{c}^a = (c^a[(1 - \alpha_l)x^a], c^a(x^a), c^a[(1 + \alpha_r)x^a]) \quad (22)$$

where $c^a[(1-\alpha_l)x^a]$ is the left limit and $c^a[(1+\alpha_r)x^a]$ is the right limit of c^a, respectively. The advantage of using such a strategy is it considers link capacity as an effective factor in traffic assignment [43].

3.2. Physarum-*type algorithm for fuzzy user equilibrium algorithm*

According to the network structure, *Physarum Polycephalum* is able to make full use of its protoplasm (flow) for building a new network (*Physarum* network) based on its adaptivity [23]. To take full of advantage of this feature for fuzzy user equilibrium problem, it is necessary for us to find out the similarities and differences between the *Physarum* network and the traffic network, and then to find a way to unify the *Physarum* network and the traffic network. Therefore, some modifications of *Physarum*-type algorithm should be carried out.

There are many similar properties between the *Physarum* network and the traffic network. As a result, we can treat the links in the traffic network as the tubes in *Physarum* network, the traffic flow as the protoplasm, the traffic nodes as the food sources and the cost as the distance. Meanwhile, there are some differences between them. For example, in reality, the traffic nodes have many their own features such as education center, political centers and transportation hubs. Besides, the traffic flow is always determined by many factors such as the choices of travelers, the accidents and the transport facilities. While in the *Physarum* network, the protoplasm in the tubes only flow from the high-pressure node to the low-pressure node.

Based on these similarities and differences, we rewrite some formulas of *Physarum*-type algorithm to unify the *Physarum* network and the traffic network. Firstly, consider the distance of tubes and the cost of links:

$$\widetilde{L}_{ij} = \widetilde{c}^a, \quad i, j \in N \tag{23}$$

where arc from node i to node j is equal to link a. Like [21], fuzzy cost $\widetilde{c}^a$ can be

denoted as (c_l^a, c^a, c_r^a). Then, Eq. 2 can be rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i \frac{D_{ij}}{c_l^a}(p_i^l - p_j^l) &= \begin{cases} -\dot{q_{(o,d)}} & for\ j = 1, \\ +q_{(o,d)} & for\ j = 2, \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases} \\
\sum_i \frac{D_{ij}}{c^a}(p_i - p_j) &= \begin{cases} -\dot{q_{(o,d)}} & for\ j = 1, \\ +q_{(o,d)} & for\ j = 2, \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases} \\
\sum_i \frac{D_{ij}}{c_r^a}(p_i^r - p_j^r) &= \begin{cases} -\dot{q_{(o,d)}} & for\ j = 1, \\ +q_{(o,d)} & for\ j = 2, \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\widetilde{p}_i = (p_i^l, p_i, p_i^r), i \in N$ is associated with the fuzzy cost $\widetilde{c}^a$. According to $\widetilde{p}_i$, the $\widetilde{p}_{(o,d)}$ can be obtained for each (o, d) pair. Then, the globe pressure $\widetilde{p}_{(O,D)}$ can be calculated as follows:

$$\widetilde{p}_{(O,D)} = \sum_{(o,d)\in\Pi} \widetilde{p}_{(o,d)} \tag{25}$$

Next, x^a can be calculated as follows:

$$x^a = D_{ij} \times Dis(\frac{\widetilde{p}_i}{\widetilde{c}^a}, \frac{\widetilde{p}_j}{\widetilde{c}^a}), \quad \widetilde{p}_i, \widetilde{p}_j \in \widetilde{p}_{(O,D)} \tag{26}$$

where $Dis(\cdot)$ is the function of fuzzy distance measure. Finally, according to Eq. 4 and x^a, D_{ij} is obtain as follows:

$$\frac{D_{ij}^{n+1} - D_{ij}^n}{\delta t} = |(x^a)^n| - D_{ij}^{n+1} \tag{27}$$

where δt is a time mesh size and the upper index n indicates a time step.

4. Experimental results

In this section, we briefly illustrate the efficiency of the proposed algorithm by studying on some sample networks.



Figure 3: A small network with 4 nodes

4.1. A test problem of Ramazani

The first experiment involves a test problem introduced by Ramazani et al. [43]. It is based on a network with 4 nodes, 6 links and one origin-destination travel demands shown as Fig. 3. According to the well known cost function represented by the US Bureau of Public Roads [44], the cost of link a can be calculated as follows:

$$c^a(x) = c_0^a\left(1 + \alpha(\frac{x^a}{u^a})^\beta\right) \tag{28}$$

where c_0^a and u^a are the free-flow cost and the capacity of link $a \in A$ depicted in Table 1. Parameters α and β are fixed values (usual values are $\alpha = 0.15$ and $\beta = 4$).

Table 1: Example network free-flow cost and the capacity of links

Path	(1,2)	(1,3)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,4)	(3,4)
c_0^a	4	5	7	7	17	7
u^a	200	150	250	250	300	250

The demand for origin-destination $1-4$ is 700, namely $q_{(1,4)} = 700$. Parameter α_l and α_r are assumed to be $\alpha_l = \alpha_r = 0.2$. The results of assignment after *Physarum*-type algorithm (PA) are shown as Fig. 4 and Table 2. Consider this equilibrium state, the fuzzy cost of each path can be calculated according to Eq. 22. For comparing these results, we use the method in [45, 46] to transform the fuzzy cost to crisp number shown as Table 3. Therefore, PA is more efficient than FITA.



Figure 4: Small network: propagation of the travel flows along each link

Table 2: Final assignment results of traffic volume for 100 iterations

Links	(1,2)	(1,3)	(2,3)	(2,4)	(1,4)	(3,4)
FITA [43]	287	217	0	287	196	217
PA	306	227	0	306	167	227

Table 3: Example network free-flow cost and the capacity of links

Method	Route	$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4$	$1 \rightarrow 4$	$1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$
Hassanzadeh's method [46]	FITA	50.48	55.39	51.85
	PA	55.16	54.62	54.64
Deng's method [45]	FITA	15.72	17.50	16.19
	PA	17.10	17.26	17.02

4.2. A test problem of Ghatee

The second experiment involves a test problem introduced by Ramazani et al. [3]. It is based on a network with 13 nodes, 15 links and five junctions depicted



Figure 5: A 13 nodes, 15 links and 5 junctions network

in Fig. 5. The capacity and free-flow cost of network links are presented in Table 4. Assume six (o, d) pairs $\{(1, 9), (1, 10), (1, 13), (5, 9), (5, 10), (5, 13)\}$ with demand $\{100, 200, 100, 150, 150, 150\}$, the results of the equilibrium flows and the equilibrium path data of each (o, d) pair are presents in Table 5 and Table 6, respectively. Table 5

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a *Physarum* network mode to address the fuzzy user equilibrium problem. We modify the *Physarum*-type algorithm to build a relationship between the *Physarum* network and the traffic network so that they can propagate mutually. By this way, an equilibrium state occurs approaching the solution of the fuzzy user equilibrium problem. To test the performance of the proposed

Table 4: The capacity and free-flow cost of network links (depicted in Fig. 5)

Ind.	Node i	Node j	Free-F. C.	Link Cap.
1	1	3	4	252
2	3	4	13	415
3	4	6	13	413
4	5	6	21	175
5	6	7	8	174
6	3	7	21	367
7	2	3	17	423
8	2	8	20	189
9	8	9	18	277
10	8	10	10	351
11	7	8	8	401
12	7	11	10	265
13	6	12	7	90
14	11	12	11	139
15	11	13	21	442

method, some experiments are developed. The results demonstrate the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

6. Acknowledgments

The author greatly appreciate the reviews' suggestions. The work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61174022), Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (Grant No. 20131102130002), R&D Program of China (2012BAH07B01), National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program) (Grant No. 2013AA013801), the open funding project of State Key Laboratory of Virtual Reality Technology and Systems, Beihang University (Grant No.BUAA-VR-14KF-02).

Table 5: The equilibrium flow of network links (depicted in Fig. 5)

Ind.	Node i	Node j	Flow
1	1	3	400.00
2	3	4	0.00
3	4	6	0.00
4	5	6	450.00
5	6	7	300.00
6	3	7	353.67
7	2	3	46.33
8	2	8	46.33
9	8	9	250.00
10	8	10	350.00
11	7	8	553.67
12	7	11	100.00
13	6	12	150.00
14	11	12	150.00
15	11	13	250.00

References

[1] T. L. Friesz, Transportation network equilibrium, design and aggregation: key developments and research opportunities, Transportation Research Part A: General 19 (1985) 413–427.

[2] H. F. Wang, H. L. Liao, User equilibrium in traffic assignment problem with fuzzy n–a incidence matrix, Fuzzy sets and systems 107 (1999) 245–253.

[3] M. Ghatee, S. M. Hashemi, Traffic assignment model with fuzzy level of travel demand: An efficient algorithm based on quasi-logit formulas, European Journal of Operational Research 194 (2009) 432–451.

[4] R. Z. Farahani, E. Miandoabchi, W. Szeto, H. Rashidi, A review of urban

Table 6: The results of the fuzzy cost of different (o, d) pair

(o, d)	Paths	Fuzzy cost	Deng's method
(1,9)	$1 \to 3 \to 2 \to 8 \to 9$	$(61.2985, 64.6115, 70.6359)$	65.0634
	$1 \to 3 \to 7 \to 8 \to 9$	$(56.1930, 63.6782, 77.2894)$	64.6992
(1,10)	$1 \to 3 \to 2 \to 8 \to 10$	$(53.1721, 56.3030, 61.9963)$	56.7301
	$1 \to 3 \to 7 \to 8 \to 10$	$(48.0666, 55.3697, 68.6498)$	56.3659
(1,13)	$1 \to 3 \to 7 \to 11 \to 13$	$(58.8174, 62.8783, 70.2628)$	63.4322
(5,9)	$5 \to 6 \to 7 \to 8 \to 9$	$(118.2751, 209.4803, 375.3303)$	221.9211
(5,10)	$5 \to 6 \to 7 \to 8 \to 10$	$(110.1488, 201.1718, 366.6906)$	213.5878
(5,13)	$5 \to 6 \to 12 \to 11 \to 13$	$(120.7787, 208.3855, 366.6922)$	220.3355
	$5 \to 6 \to 7 \to 11 \to 13$	$(120.8995, 208.6804, 368.3037)$	220.6541

transportation network design problems, European Journal of Operational Research 229 (2013) 281–302.

[5] B. George, S. Kim, Spatio-temporal networks: An introduction, in: Spatio-temporal Networks, Springer, 2013, pp. 1–6.

[6] J. E. Burrell, Multiple route assignment and its application to capacity restraint, in: Proceedings of Fourth International Symposium on the Theory of Traffic Flow.

[7] R. B. Dial, A probabilistic multipath traffic assignment model which obviates path enumeration, Transportation research 5 (1971) 83–111.

[8] H. Bar-Gera, Traffic assignment by paired alternative segments, Transportation Research Part B: Methodological 44 (2010) 1022–1046.

[9] J. G. Wardrop, Road paper. some theoretical aspects of road traffic research., in: ICE Proceedings: Engineering Divisions, volume 1, Thomas Telford, pp. 325–362.

[10] M. Beckmann, C. McGuire, C. B. Winsten, Studies in the Economics of Transportation, Technical Report, 1956.

[11] T. L. Friesz, T. Kim, C. Kwon, M. A. Rigdon, Approximate network loading and dual-time-scale dynamic user equilibrium, Transportation Research Part B: Methodological 45 (2011) 176–207.

[12] A. Chen, S. Ryu, X. Xu, K. Choi, Computation and application of the paired combinatorial logit stochastic user equilibrium problem, Computers & Operations Research 43 (2014) 68–77.

[13] Z. Zhou, A. Chen, S. Bekhor, C-logit stochastic user equilibrium model: formulations and solution algorithm, Transportmetrica 8 (2012) 17–41.

[14] T. Akiyama, H. Yamanishi, Travel time information service device based on fuzzy sets theory, in: Uncertainty Modeling and Analysis, 1993. Proceedings., Second International Symposium on, IEEE, pp. 238–245.

[15] V. Henn, Fuzzy route choice model for traffic assignment, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 116 (2000) 77–101.

[16] M. Ridwan, Fuzzy preference based traffic assignment problem, Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies 12 (2004) 209–233.

[17] T. Nakagaki, H. Yamada, Á. Tóth, Intelligence: Maze-solving by an amoeboid organism, Nature 407 (2000) 470–470.

[18] T. Nakagaki, H. Yamada, A. Toth, Path finding by tube morphogenesis in an amoeboid organism, Biophysical chemistry 92 (2001) 47–52.

[19] A. Adamatzky, Slime mold solves maze in one pass, assisted by gradient of chemo-attractants, NanoBioscience, IEEE Transactions on 11 (2012) 131–134.

[20] T. Nakagaki, M. Iima, T. Ueda, Y. Nishiura, T. Saigusa, A. Tero, R. Kobayashi, K. Showalter, Minimum-risk path finding by an adaptive amoebal network, Physical review letters 99 (2007) 068104.

[21] Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Y. Deng, S. Mahadevan, A biologically inspired solution for fuzzy shortest path problems, Applied Soft Computing 13 (2013) 2356–2363.

[22] X. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, D. Wei, Y. Deng, Route selection for emergency logistics management: A bio-inspired algorithm, Safety Science 54 (2013) 87–91.

[23] A. Tero, S. Takagi, T. Saigusa, K. Ito, D. P. Bebber, M. D. Fricker, K. Yumiki, R. Kobayashi, T. Nakagaki, Rules for biologically inspired adaptive network design, Science 327 (2010) 439–442.

[24] A. Adamatzky, M. Prokopenko, Slime mould evaluation of australian motorways, International Journal of Parallel, Emergent and Distributed Systems 27 (2012) 275–295.

[25] A. Tero, R. Kobayashi, T. Nakagaki, A mathematical model for adaptive transport network in path finding by true slime mold, Journal of theoretical biology 244 (2007) 553–564.

[26] M. Smith, The existence, uniqueness and stability of traffic equilibria, Transportation Research Part B: Methodological 13 (1979) 295–304.

[27] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.

[28] C. Yang, L. Bruzzone, F. Sun, L. Lu, R. Guan, Y. Liang, A fuzzy-statistics-based affinity propagation technique for clustering in multispectral images, Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on 48 (2010) 2647–2659.

[29] P. K. Giri, M. K. Maiti, M. Maiti, Fuzzy stochastic solid transportation problem using fuzzy goal programming approach, Computers & Industrial Engineering 72 (2014) 160–168.

[30] A. Azadeh, M. Moghaddam, M. Khakzad, V. Ebrahimipour, A flexible neural network-fuzzy mathematical programming algorithm for improvement of oil price estimation and forecasting, Computers & Industrial Engineering 62 (2012) 421–430.

[31] H. Hu, Z. Li, A. Al-Ahmari, Reversed fuzzy petri nets and their application for fault diagnosis, Computers & Industrial Engineering 60 (2011) 505–510.

[32] H. T. Nguyen, V. Kreinovich, B. Wu, G. Xiang, Computing Statistics Under Interval and Fuzzy Uncertainty: Applications to Computer Science and Engineering, Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2011.

[33] Y. Deng, W. Jiang, R. Y. Deng, Modeling contaminant intrusion in water distribution networks: A new similarity-based dst method, Expert Systems with Applications 38 (2011) 571–578.

[34] A. Kauffman, M. M. Gupta, Introduction to Fuzzy Arithmetic: Theory and Application, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1991.

[35] R. Ezzati, R. Saneifard, A new approach for ranking of fuzzy numbers with continuous weighted quasi-arithmetic means, Mathematical Sciences 4 (2010) 143–158.

[36] R. E. Giachetti, R. E. Young, A parametric representation of fuzzy numbers and their arithmetic operators, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 91 (1997) 185–202.

[37] S.-M. Chen, Fuzzy system reliability analysis using fuzzy number arithmetic operations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994) 31–38.

[38] D. Guha, D. Chakraborty, A new approach to fuzzy distance measure and similarity measure between two generalized fuzzy numbers, Applied Soft Computing 10 (2010) 90–99.

[39] S. Sadi-Nezhad, K. Khalili Damghani, Application of a fuzzy topsis method base on modified preference ratio and fuzzy distance measurement in assessment of traffic police centers performance, Applied Soft Computing 10 (2010) 1028–1039.

[40] B. S. Gildeh, D. Gien, La distance-dp,q et le cofficient de corrélation entre deux variables aléatoires floues, Actes de LFA 2001 (2001) 97–102.

[41] I. Mahdavi, R. Nourifar, A. Heidarzade, N. M. Amiri, A dynamic programming approach for finding shortest chains in a fuzzy network, Applied Soft Computing 9 (2009) 503–511.

[42] R. Hassanzadeh, I. Mahdavi, N. Mahdavi-Amiri, A. Tajdin, A genetic algorithm for solving fuzzy shortest path problems with mixed fuzzy arc lengths, Mathematical and Computer Modelling Article in Press, Corrected Proof (2011).

[43] H. Ramazani, Y. Shafahi, S. Seyedabrishami, A fuzzy traffic assignment algorithm based on driver perceived travel time of network links, Scientia Iranica 18 (2011) 190–197.

[44] T. A. Manual, Us bureau of public roads, Washington, DC 113 (1964).

[45] Y. Deng, Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. Mahadevan, Fuzzy dijkstra algorithm for shortest path problem under uncertain environment, Applied Soft Computing 12 (2012) 1231–1237.

[46] R. Hassanzadeh, I. Mahdavi, N. Mahdavi-Amiri, A. Tajdin, A genetic algorithm for solving fuzzy shortest path problems with mixed fuzzy arc lengths, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 57 (2013) 84–99.